Ruthenium Complexes which catalyse the Dimerization of Acrylonitrile

By A. Misono,* Y. Uchida, M. Hidai, and I. Inomata (Department of Industrial Chemistry, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo)

We have recorded the conversion of acrylonitrile into a mixture of propionitrile, adiponitrile, and 1,4-dicyanobut-1-ene by the use of hydrated ruthenium trichloride in alcohol under hydrogen. We now report the reactions of acrylonitrile using other ruthenium complexes as catalysts. Reactions were carried out at 130° under 3.7 atmos.

of hydrogen in ethanol for 4 hr. The results are shown in the Table.

Dichloro(dodeca-2,6,10-triene-1,12-diyl)ruthenium(IV), $\operatorname{RuCl}_2(C_{12}H_{18})$, and ruthenium(III) acetylacetonate exhibited catalytic activity similar to hydrated ruthenium trichloride. However, all reactions of acrylonitrile were inhibited by the use

of dichloro(dicarbonyl)bis(pyridine)ruthenium(II), in which carbon monoxide and pyridine are strongly co-ordinated to the ruthenium atom. Propionitrile, in addition to small amounts of dimers of acrylonitrile, was mainly produced using dichlorotetrakis(triphenylphosphite)ruthenium(II), RuCl₂-[P(OPh)₃]₄, and dichlorotetrakis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II), RuCl₂(PPh₃)₄. Wilkinson et

The yellow crystalline complex, $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_2an_2$, was obtained by the reaction of a toluene solution of $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_4$ with acrylonitrile at room temperature (yield 90%). In the i.r. spectrum the $C \equiv N$ stretching frequency was shifted to 2215 cm.⁻¹, a position lower than that in free acrylonitrile and the C = C stretching absorption at 1610 cm.⁻¹ and the C = H deformation absorption at 1410

Table

The dimerization of acrylonitrile and related reactions catalysed by ruthenium complexes: ruthenium complex, 7.75×10^{-4} mole; acrylonitrile, 1.5×10^{-1} mole; ethanol, 20 ml.

Ruthenium	an^a	Yields of products (%)			
complex	conversion (%)	pn^b	cisc	transa	adn*
RuCl ₃ ,3H ₂ O	59.5	$22 \cdot 6$	16.5	18.5	$2 \cdot 7$
$RuCl_{2}(C_{12}H_{18})$	$92 \cdot 2$	$34 \cdot 3$	20.9	$\boldsymbol{22 \cdot 7}$	3.8
Ru acaca ⁷	$98 \cdot 2$	39.6	$23 \cdot 2$	30.1	$7 \cdot 6$
$RuCl_2(CO)_2 py_2^g$	$2 \cdot 2$	$2 \cdot 9$			
$\operatorname{RuCl}_{2}(P(OPh)_{3})_{4^{h}}$	44 ·7	21.0			
$\operatorname{RuCl}_{2}(\operatorname{PPh}_{3})_{4}^{h}$	77.0	41.0	$2 \cdot 3$	1.7	2.0
$RuCl_2(PPh_3)_2an_2$	51.0	24.3	$7 \cdot 3$	5.9	2.9
Ru acac ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂ h	92.9	18.0	1.1	$2 \cdot 6$	$2 \cdot 6$

^a an = acrylonitrile, ^b pn = propionitrile, ^c cis-1,4-dicyano-1-butene, ^d trans-1,4-dicyano-1-butene, ^e adn = adiponitrile, ^f acac = acetylacetonate, ^g py = pyridine, ^h β -ethoxypropionitrile was also produced.

al. have reported² that the latter complex is dissociated in solution to solvated species RuCl₂-(PPh₃)₂(solvent)₂ and RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃(solvent), and it is an effective homogeneous catalyst for the hydrogenation of olefins and acetylenes. In the case of dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)bis(acrylonitrile), RuCl₂(PPh₃)₂an₂, propionitrile was mainly formed, but the yields of dimers were much higher than those in the case of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₄. These results indicate that the co-ordination of more than two molecules of acrylonitrile to ruthenium atom may be required for the dimerization reaction.

During the course of the catalysis of the dimerization of acrylonitrile by ruthenium complexes, three new ruthenium complexes were obtained.

The pale yellow crystalline complex, RuCl₂-[P(OPh)₃]₄, was obtained by treating RuCl₂-(PPh₃)₄ with an excess of P(OPh)₃ at room temperature in benzene (yield 80%).

and 962 cm. $^{-1}$ disappeared. The n.m.r. spectrum showed resonance bands at τ 1·7 and 5·8. This spectrum is similar to that of W(CO) $_3$ an $_3$ in which acrylonitrile is bonded to the metal through a C=C double bond. These observations indicate the coordination of acrylonitrile through the C=C double bond.

The orange crystalline complex, Ru(PPh₃)₂-acac₂, was obtained by refluxing a toluene solution of Ru acac₃ and AlEt₂(OEt) in the presence of PPh₃ for 2—3 hr. under nitrogen (yield 25%). In the i.r. spectrum the C–C stretching and C–CH₃ stretching frequency was shifted to 1260 cm.⁻¹, a position lower than that in ruthenium(III) acetylacetone.

(Received, April 23rd, 1968; Com. 499.)

¹ A. Misono, Y. Uchida, M. Hidai, and H. Kanai, Chem. Comm., 1967, 357; A. Misono, Y. Uchida, M. Hidai, H. Shinohara, and Y. Watanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1968, 41, 396.

² D. Evans, J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, and G. Wilkinson, *Nature*, 1965, 208, 1203; P. S. Hallman, D. Evans, J. A. Osborn, and G. Wilkinson, *Chem. Comm.*, 1967, 305.

⁸ B. L. Ross, J. G. Grasselli, W. M. Rithey, and H. D. Kaesz, Inorg. Chem., 1963, 2, 1023.